


89 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 JUL 1997

FORM PTO-1390 (REV. 5/93)		U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	Attorney's Docket Number  30-440
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371		U.S. Application No. (if known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.5) <b>08/875424</b>	
International Application No.  PCT/FI96/00090	International Filing Date  16 February 1996	Priority Date Claimed  17/2/95; 7/6/95; 20/6/95; 6/7/95; 19/9/95	
Title of Invention  METHOD OF PRETREATING PULP TO BE BLEACHED WITH PEROXIDE			
Applicant(s) For DO/EO/US  Henricson, Kaj; Pikka, Olava			
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information.			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a <b>FIRST</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</li> <li>2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a <b>SECOND</b> or <b>SUBSEQUENT</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</li> <li>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) Articles 22 and 39(1).</li> <li>4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19<sup>th</sup> month from the earliest claimed priority date.</li> <li>5. A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been transmitted by the International Bureau.</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. <input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).</li> <li>7. Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been transmitted by the International Bureau</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has <b>NOT</b> expired.</li> <li>d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made.</li> </ol> </li> <li>8. <input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).</li> <li>9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).</li> <li>10. <input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).</li> <li>11. The above checked items are being transmitted: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> before the 18<sup>th</sup> month publication.</li> <li>b. <input type="checkbox"/> after publication and the Article 20 communication but before 20 months from the priority date.</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> after 20 months.</li> <li>d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> by 30 months and a proper demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19<sup>th</sup> month from the earliest claimed priority date.</li> <li>e. <input type="checkbox"/> after 30 months.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><b>Note:</b> Petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) is necessary if 35 U.S.C. 371 requirements submitted (1) after 20 months and no proper demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by 19 months from the earliest claimed priority date, or (2) after 30 months and a proper demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by 19 months from the earliest claimed priority date.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>12. At the time of transmittal, Amendments to the claims under Article 34 <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been transmitted by the International Bureau</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has <b>NOT</b> expired.</li> <li>d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made.</li> </ol> </li> <li>13. <input type="checkbox"/> Certain requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371 were previously submitted by the applicant on _____, namely:</li> <li>14. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.</li> <li>15. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.</li> <li>16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <b>FIRST</b> preliminary amendment to be entered prior to the calculation of the filing fee. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> A <b>SECOND</b> OR <b>SUBSEQUENT</b> preliminary amendment.</li> </ol> </li> <li>17. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification.</li> <li>18. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.</li> </ol>			

19. <input type="checkbox"/> Other items or information:						
20. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:					CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY
<b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5))</b> -- Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO .....\$910.00 -- International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.492).....\$700.00 -- No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.492) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) .....\$770.00 -- Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO.....\$1,040.00 -- International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provision of PCT Article 33(1) to (4).....\$96.00						
<b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>					\$	1040.00
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the National fee or oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 mos. from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).					\$	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE			
Total Claims	20	-20 =	0	X \$22.00	\$	
Independent Claims	1	-3 =	0	X \$80.00	\$	
Multiple Dependent Claims(s) (if applicable)				+\$260.00	\$	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>					\$	1040.00
Reduction by 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Affidavit must be filed also. (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).						
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>					\$	1040.00
Processing fee of \$130.00, for furnishing the English Translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 mos., from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).						
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>					\$	1040.00
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +					\$	40.00
Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1,290 - Small Entity Fee = \$645)					\$	
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>					\$	1080.00
					Amount to be refunded	\$
					Charged	\$
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$1080.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed. b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. 14-1140 in the amount of \$_____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed. c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-1140. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed.						
<b>SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:</b>					 Signature	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 1100 North Glebe Road, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201 Telephone: (703) 816-4000					Robert A. Vanderhye Name	
					27,076	July 28, 1997
					Registration Number	Date

88 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 JUL 1997

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Henricson, Kaj; Pikka, Olava

Atty. Ref.: 30-440

Serial No. To be Assigned

Group:

Filed: July 28, 1997

Examiner:

For: METHOD OF PRETREATING PULP TO BE BLEACHED  
WITH PEROXIDE

\* \* \* \* \*

July 28, 1997

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

AMENDMENT

Prior to the first Official Action on the merits, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Page 1, after the title, insert the following heading:

--BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION--.

Page 4, delete lines 20 through 22 and provide in their place the following heading:

--BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS--;

In line 30, insert the following heading:

--DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS--.

**IN THE CLAIMS**

Cancel claims 1 through 15 without prejudice and substitute the following therefor:

--16. A method of pre-treating, prior to bleaching, cellulose pulp, to improve bleachability of the pulp, using an acid tower, and a tower in a second treatment stage, comprising the steps of substantially sequentially:

(a) if necessary, adjusting the pH of the pulp to between 2-6 by adding aminic acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, or another acid which does not contain oxidizing perhydroxyl ions;

(b) feeding the pulp to the acid tower;

(c) treating the pulp in the acid tower at substantially the pH, between 2-6, to which it has been adjusted in step (a), at a pressure of 0-20 bar, at a temperature of 75-130°C, and for 20-240 minutes, so as to decrease the kappa number by 1-9 units;

(d) transferring the pulp from the acid tower to the tower of the second treatment stage,

(e) in the second treatment stage tower treating the pulp with a complexing agent at a pH of between 4-9, or with an oxidizing chemical;

(f) washing, pressing, or both washing and pressing the pulp; and

(g) bleaching the pulp.

17. A method as recited in claim 16 wherein step (c) is practiced at a pH between about 3-4, at a pressure of 1-10 bar, at a temperature of 80-110°C; and so as to decrease the kappa number of the pulp by at least 2 units.

18. A method as recited in claim 17 wherein step (e) is practiced using a complexing agent, and at a pH of between about 5-6.

19. A method as recited in claim 17 wherein step (e) is practiced using as an oxidizing chemical chlorine dioxide, Caro's acid, peracids, or combinations thereof.

20. A method as recited in claim 16 wherein step (g) is practiced by using hydrogen peroxide.

21. A method as recited in claim 20 wherein step (g) is practiced by treating with hydrogen peroxide alone in a stage, or by adding hydrogen peroxide to an alkaline stage.

22. A method as recited in claim 18 wherein prior to step (e), between steps (c) and (e), adding acid to alkali to the pulp to adjust the pH thereof.

23. A method as recited in claim 16 comprising the further step, prior to or in conjunction with step (a) or step (e), adding at least one of magnesium, calcium, enzymes, and chlorine dioxide to the pulp.

24. A method as recited in claim 16 wherein step (f) is practiced by washing the pulp in a fractionating washer so that a first filtrate containing heavy metals is removed from the process, and a second, cleaner, filtrate is recycled for use in another stage of the method.

25. A method as recited in claim 20 wherein step (g) is practiced using two towers which are different in size and connected to each other, the first tower acting as a pretreatment reactor and the second tower as a bleach tower.

26. A method as recited in claim 25 wherein step (g) is further practiced by: mixing peroxide with the pulp; feeding the pulp into the pretreatment reactor and treating the pulp in the pretreatment reactor at a pressure of 3-20 bar and for a reaction time of 10-60 minutes, so that the peroxide reacts with the pulp; separating gas from

the pulp; using the pressure in the pretreatment reactor, blowing the pulp to a lower section of the bleach tower so that the pulp flows upwardly in the bleach tower; and removing the pulp from the top of the bleach tower after the pulp reacts with the peroxide in the bleach tower.

27. A method as recited in claim 26 wherein the mixing step is practiced by adding 5-20 kg/adt peroxide, and 0-10 kg/adt oxygen to the pulp.

28. A method as recited in claim 26 wherein step (g) is further practiced by using a peroxide dosage that is from about 5 to just below 10 kg/adt, and using an oxygen dosage of between 5-15 kg/adt.

29. A method as recited in claim 26 wherein during treatment of the pulp in the bleach tower the pressure is between 1.1-5 bar, and the temperature 80-130°C.

30. A method as recited in claim 20 wherein step (g) is practiced in two stages using peroxide, the first stage in sequence using a peroxide dosage of between 5 to just below 10 kg/adt and with about 5-15 kg/adt oxygen, and the second peroxide stage in sequence having a dosage of 10-20 kg/adt peroxide and an oxygen dosage of 0-10 kg/adt.

31. A method as recited in claim 20 wherein step (g) is practiced by adding 5-20 kg/adt peroxide and 0-10 kg/adt oxygen.

32. A method as recited in claim 20 wherein step (g) is practiced by adding between 5-just below 10 kg/adt peroxide and 5-15 kg/adt oxygen.

Henricson, Kaj; Pikka, Olava  
Serial No. To be Assigned

33. A method as recited in claim 19 wherein step (e) is practiced by using 5-30 kg/adt chlorine dioxide calculated as active chlorine.

34. A method as recited in claim 16 wherein steps (a) through (g) are practiced as part of a treatment sequence of the pulp, in which the steps are practiced to bleach the pulp to an ISO brightness of over 80, comprising Cooking - O - AQ - P, Cooking - O - AD - P, Cooking O - ADQ - P, Cooking - O - Ap<sub>a</sub> - P, or Cooking - O - AP<sub>a</sub>Q - P.

35. A method as recited in claim 16 wherein steps (a) through (g) are practiced to produce pulp at an ISO brightness of over 88, and is a part of the treatment sequence of Cooking - O - AQ - P<sub>a</sub>Q - P. *4x*

**REMARKS**

The claims have been revised to put them in a form more coincident with present U.S. practice. Early passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By:



**Robert A. Vanderhye**  
Reg. No. 27,076

**RAV:eaw**

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22201-4714  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

28 Rec'd 28 JUL 1997 08/875424

METHOD OF PRETREATING PULP TO BE BLEACHED WITH PEROXIDE

The present invention relates to a method of bleaching pulp with peroxide. The invention especially relates to intensifying and at the same time simplifying the pretreatment required by peroxide bleaching.

Bleaching of pulp with peroxide is previously known in many connections. Especially in bleaching of chlorine-free pulp, peroxide has an important role. Prior to peroxide bleaching, it is necessary to remove heavy metals from the pulp by utilizing, for example, complexing agents such as EDTA or DTPA. It has been established in tests that a suitable pH value is 4 to 7, preferably 5 to 6, in this so-called chelating stage.

On the other hand, it has been surprisingly established (FI 944808) recently that the kappa number of pulp may be decreased by mere acid in a pH range of 2 to 6, preferably 3 to 4. The temperature has to be 60 to 130°C and the duration 20 to 240 minutes in this, so-called acidifying treatment. A suitable acid is aminic acid, sulphuric acid, or hydrochloric acid, even though other corresponding acids may be considered as well. In other words, we have found that, besides peracids suggested in Swedish patent 500605, which, as known, contain a delignifying perhydroxyl ion, a suitable acid may be some acid which does not contain any known delignifying ion or equivalent. A prerequisite for operation without peracids or equivalent is that the temperature is high enough (cf. FI 944808). Peracids and equivalent do not call for high temperatures; usually a temperature below 75°C, most usually that of 50 to 75°C, is sufficient. Treatment with acid may be intensified with additional chemicals, but it is once more to be noted that it is not at all necessary for decreasing the kappa number. Such additional chemi-

cals are those which make the treatment of metals more effective or more effectively decrease the kappa number.

5 It has been often thought that acidification (A) and chelation (Q) stages could be united, but practical experience has shown that it is impossible. The pH ranges of acidification and chelation deviate from each other and, therefore, two separate treatment towers are necessary. At the acidification (A) stage, the pH has to be low enough, the temperature high enough and the treatment time long enough. At the chelation stage (Q), however, the pH has to be high enough. It is an object of this invention to provide a method of implementing acidification, decrease of the kappa number, and chelation, as simply and efficiently as possible, excluding unnecessary pumping operations.

10 It is previously known that prior to peroxide bleaching, the pulp is treated at a ZQ stage where, at the Z stage it is delignified with ozone at a pH of 2 to 4 and, thereafter, treated at the Q stage for removing metals. However, there is a problem of the Z stage being fast, usually taking less than 1 minute, and often cold, below 70°C. The Z stage thereby provides poor conditions for dissolving metals from fibers. This may be partly remedied by adding an A stage prior to the ozone treatment so that an AZQ stage, i.e., an arrangement with three towers, is brought about. This arrangement involves two problems in view of dissolving metals. One is the temperature and the other concerns removal of heavy metals; the best way of removing heavy metals is to provide extracting time for pulp after delignification. In other words, with regard to metals removal, the ZQ stage should be an AZAQ stage, i.e., an arrangement with four towers, which should be run at a high temperature of preferably over 70°C. But a further problem is involved in here, namely the ozone treatment produces radicals which are

harmful to the pulp quality and which have enough time so as to react with pulp in the second A tower.

5 In Tappi Pulping Conference held in 1994, Nordgren and Elofson suggested in their paper "New process for metal ion chelation at elevated pH in pulp production" including an AQ stage for removal of metals. According to their teaching, the pH is 3 to 5 at the A stage and 6 to 9 at the Q stage. They suggest that the temperature of the process be 75°C, which is too low in view of decreasing the kappa number. A weakness of the method by Nordgren and Elofson is thereby that after their AQ stage, the bleachability of the pulp is still rather poor since the kappa number has not been lowered at the A stage, which  
10 impairs dissolving of metals and weakens the bleachability.  
15

In the 1994 Tappi Pulping Conference, the article "Metal management in ECF bleaching and the effect of peroxide efficiency in the EPO stage" studied the effect of chelating agents when these were added to the chlorine dioxide stage. It is established in the study that, chelating agents, when added to the chlorine dioxide stage, do not lessen delignification at the chlorine dioxide stage, but delay the increase of brightness instead. The EPO stage functions better if chelating agents are added to the chlorine dioxide stage preceding the EPO stage. So, it is suggested in the article that the  $D_QE_{PO}$  sequence may be improved by converting it into a  $DQE_{PO}$  sequence, by  
20 adding one treatment stage, i.e., a Q stage. The research had been made using the temperature of 60°C at the D stage, which is too low in practice. The kappa number was also too high, i.e., nearly 30.  
25  
30

35 It is a characteristic feature of a preferred embodiment of the method of the present invention that, prior to the peroxide stage which is preferably pressurized, most

preferably a pressurized two-tower peroxide stage, pulp is treated at a two-tower treatment stage where the kappa number of pulp is lowered in acidic, hot conditions, the pH being preferably 2 to 6 and the temperature 75 to 130°C, and thereafter at a chelation stage, the pH being 4 to 9 preferably 5 to 6. Hereby, the bleachability of pulp is made optimal with regard to both metals and the kappa number prior to the peroxide stage.

It is a characteristic feature of another, alternative embodiment of the method of the invention that pulp, the kappa number of which has been lowered with a hot acid treatment, is treated with chlorine dioxide or some peracid at the same bleaching stage, but in a separate tower.

The characteristic features of the method of the invention appear from the accompanying claims.

The method according to the invention will be described in greater detail below, with reference to the enclosed drawings, in which

Fig. 1 illustrates an installation according to a preferred embodiment of the invention,  
Fig. 2 illustrates an installation according to a second preferred embodiment of the invention, and  
Fig. 3 illustrates an installation according to a third embodiment of the invention.

In the embodiment of Fig. 1, pulp is transferred from a preceding treatment stage 10 by an MC® pump 12 to an acid tower 14. The preceding treatment stage may be oxygen delignification, which most usually follows pulp cooking, washing subsequent to that, or some other delignification or bleaching stage, or washing subsequent to that. Before tower 14, necessary chemicals are added to the pulp and,

if necessary, steam is added for raising the temperature. These chemicals are the acids mentioned above (e.g. hydrochloric acid, sulphuric acid, or aminic acid, i.e., acid which does not contain oxidizing perhydroxyl ion) and, e.g., enzymes, magnesium and/or calcium, which are added as  $\text{MgSO}_4$  and/or  $\text{CaO}$ . It is possible to add the chemicals either directly to pump 12, to inject them into a tube 16 between pump 12 and tower 14, or into a mixer 18 specifically arranged for this purpose. The conditions in the acid tower 14 are as follows: pressure 0 to 20 bar, preferably 1 to 10 bar; temperature 75 to 130°C, preferably 80 to 110°C; and pH 2 to 6, preferably 3 to 4. Treatment in the acid tower takes 20 to 240 minutes, preferably 45 to 150 minutes. The kappa number usually decreases by 1-9 units, most usually by 2 - 6 units, in the acid tower.

After the acidification, chemicals needed in chelating are added to the pulp. Such chemicals are a complexing agent, e.g., EDTA and DTPA, and potentially metals, such as magnesium and lime. Metals may advance chelation. Enzymes may be used as well. Chelation purposes to remove heavy metals which catalyze degradation of hydrogen peroxide, such as manganese and copper. A suitable pH value for chelation is 4 to 9, preferably 5 to 6. If the pH after acidification is in a range which is unsuitable for chelation, the pH is adjusted to a suitable value by adding either acid or alkali ( $\text{NaOH}$ ). Addition of  $\text{NaOH}$  is usually necessary in order to raise the pH from the level prevailing in acidification. A suitable place for adding these chemicals is a discharge means 20 of the acid tower, i.e., a so-called A tower, or a subsequent transfer line 22, between the acid and chelating towers 14, 24. A portion of the chemicals may be added already in the A stage, e.g.,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , EDTA, DTPA. The main thing is that they are present when the Q stage begins and the pH is raised.

By the pressure developed by A tower 14, or actually by feed pump 12, pulp is transferred to Q tower 24. Thus, the A stage and the Q stage use two separate towers 14 and 24 without any pumping needed between the towers.

5 Pulp discharge means 20 may be so designed that it mixes chemicals and/or raises the pressure. In Fig. 1, A tower 14 is an upflow tower as well as Q tower 24. Towers 14 and 24 may be either of upflow or downflow types, depending on the circumstances. In some cases, pulp may also be

10 transferred by mere gravity from the A tower to the Q tower.

In Q tower 24, pulp is chelated. The conditions are as follows: retention time at least 10 to 60 minutes at the

15 pH of 4 to 9, preferably 5 to 6. The temperature and pressure have not been established to have much effect on the chelating process, even though the Q tower may be pressurized. The treatment time in Q tower 24 is not critical either, but it may be even several hours longer

20 than that mentioned before, for example, when Q tower 24 is used as a storage tower for pulp, i.e., a conventional high consistency pulp tower.

After Q tower 24, pulp is washed and/or pressed. Pressing

25 refers to a washing method, in which liquid and various substances dissolved and extracted therein in acidification and chelation of pulp and produced by different reactions are pressed from the pulp coming from, e.g., tower 24 at a medium consistency of 10-14% so that the

30 pulp consistency rises to > 30%, whereafter the pulp is diluted back to the medium consistency range. Fig. 1 shows a washer 28, whereinto pulp is discharged from tower 24 either by pressure of tower 24 or by a bottom discharge means 26 which raises the pressure; in any

35 event without a separate pump. Washer 28 is preferably a so-called fractionating washer, which means that several filtrates of different consistencies are obtained from

the same washer. A so-called DRUM DISPLACER® washer disclosed, e.g., in US patents 4,919,158 and 5,116,423 is a fractionating washer. One of the filtrates produced in washer 28, preferably filtrate F1, rich in heavy metals, is removed via a tube 30 and the other, F2, is returned via a tube 31, for example, to a washer preceding the A stage. Thus, the AQ stage is partly closed.

After washing with washer 28, the pulp is bleached with peroxide. It is advantageous to use a pressurized reactor, especially a twin vessel reactor, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Irrespective of the reactor type, a suitable peroxide dosage is 5 to 20 kg of  $H_2O_2$ /adt and, depending on the kappa level, 0 to 15 kg/adt of oxygen, preferably about 5 kg/adt may be added. Also alkali is added to the peroxide stage, for raising the pH and, if necessary, magnesium is added, for example, in form of magnesium sulphate. The temperature is 90 to 130°C. The peroxide stage may be preceded by some other bleaching stage, e.g., ZQ stage. On coming to the P stage, the pulp has a kappa number which is preferably below 10, often below 6.

In the method utilizing a twin vessel reactor, pulp is pumped at a medium consistency with MC® pump 32 via mixer 34, if desired, to a pretreatment reactor 36, which is designed for a treatment time of 10 to 60 minutes. The pressure in the reactor is 3 to 20 bar, preferably about 10 bar. Bleaching chemicals  $H_2O_2$  and oxygen are fed to pump 32 or mixer 34. The peroxide dosage is 5 to 20 kg/adt, preferably about 10 kg/adt. The oxygen dosage is usually 0 to 15 kg/adt, preferably about 0 to 10 kg/adt, most preferably about 3 to 5 kg/adt. The temperature is 80 to 110°C, preferably 90 to 100°C.

In pretreatment reactor 36, peroxide reacts quickly and, after about 30 minutes, 75% of the peroxide has been consumed. This means also that 75% of the reaction gases

have been generated. Therefore, a gas separator 38 is installed on top of pretreatment reactor 36, for separating gas from the pressure space of reactor 36. The separating capacity of this separator 38 is 40 to 90% of the gas volume contained in the pulp. The pulp which is still under pressure after gas separation is taken via a tube 40 to the bottom of the bleach tower 44 itself, where the pulp flows upwardly by its own pressure, without a separate pump. The bleach tower 44 need not necessarily be pressurized; any existing tank of a suitable size is applicable. However, it is advantageous to maintain a slight over-pressure, i.e., 1.1 to 5 bar, in the bleach tower. A suitable retention time in tower 44 is 30 to 200 minutes. Additional chemicals may be applied on pulp between towers 36 and 44 either via mixer (not disclosed) or, for example, by injecting them. After the bleaching reactions have taken place, the pulp has ended up in the top section of tower 44, and flows as a result of height difference to the following treatment stage, without a pump. As tower 44 is pressurized, its discharge opening may be provided with a gas separator 46, for removing gases formed by the peroxide reaction, and if the gas separator raises the pressure, the additional pressure generated by it may be utilized for further feeding of the pulp.

The most preferred sequences applying the method of the invention are the following:

30	Cooking - O - AQ - P	(brightness over 80),
	Cooking - AQ - ZP	(brightness over 83),
	Cooking - O - AQ - P - AQ - P	(brightness over 83),
	Cooking - O - AQ - P - ZQ - P	(brightness over 88),
	Cooking - O - AQ - ZQ - P - ZP	(brightness over 88),
35	Cooking - O - AQ - P - ZP	(brightness over 88),
	and	

Cooking - O - AQ - ZQ - P (brightness over 85),

in which P may be an oxygen-reinforced peroxide stage  $P_o$ , in which the peroxide dosage is over 5 kg  $H_2O_2$ /adt, preferably 5 to 20 kg  $H_2O_2$ /adt, and the oxygen dosage 0 to 10 kg  $O_2$ /adt, or a peroxide-reinforced oxygen stage  $O_p$ , in which the peroxide dosage is below 10 kg  $H_2O_2$ /adt and the oxygen dosage over 5 kg  $O_2$ /adt, preferably 5 to 15 kg/adt. In a sequence comprising several peroxide stages P, the first P stage should preferably be a peroxide-reinforced oxygen stage  $O_p$  and the second P stage an oxygen-reinforced peroxide stage  $P_o$ . The peroxide stage may also be an acidic P stage  $P_a$ , whereby bleaching is effected, e.g., by Caro's acid or peracid. In other words, the sequences may also be the following:

Cooking - O - AQ -  $P_a$  - AQ - P,  
 Cooking - O - AQ -  $P_a$  - ZQ - P,  
 Cooking - O - AQ - ZQ -  $P_a$  - ZP, or  
 Cooking - O - AQ -  $P_a$  - ZP.

The above-identified sequences may be simplified by leaving out washers. Washing before the A, Q, or AQ stages is not always necessary for the process, even though the consumption of acid increases, but often this is not too expensive in comparison with the washer price. Therefore, marking "-" which usually indicates washing and/or pressing, may be left out before the A and/or Q stages. Thus, e.g., the partial sequence P - AQ is replaced with a partial sequence PAQ, or possibly with PA or PQ.

Thereby, e.g., the following sequences may also be considered:

Cooking - O - AQ - PQ - P (brightness over 88),  
 Cooking - O - AQ - PQ - ZP (brightness over 88),  
 Cooking - O - AQ - ZPQ - ZP (brightness over 88),  
 and

Cooking O - PAQ - P

(brightness over 85)

As in the above-mentioned sequences, PQ may also in these sequences be  $P_aQ$ , whereby  $P_a$  means acidic peroxide stage, i.e., treatment with, e.g., Caro's acid or peracid.

The following exemplary sequences are also possible:

Cooking - O - AQ -  $P_aQ$  - P,

Cooking - O - AQ -  $P_aQ$  - ZP,

10 Cooking - O - AQ -  $ZP_aQ$  - ZP, or

Cooking - O -  $AP_aQ$  - P.

Another simplification, which is worth while sometimes, is to replace AQ, PQ,  $P_aQ$ , or ZQ with either A, P,  $P_a$ , or Z. This can be done when metal removal is even otherwise sufficient. When there are two Q stages in the sequence, one of them, preferably the first one, may sometimes be left out. The kappa number after cooking is 35 - 15 or even less. At the oxygen stage, pulp is delignified to a kappa number below 20, preferably below 10. So, it is typical to a method according to a preferred embodiment of the invention that the method is applied to a pulp, the kappa number of which has, by cooking and potentially also by delignification, been brought to a value below 20, preferably below 10.

The A stage may be intensified by adding some chemical which advances bleaching or some bleaching chemical to it. It may be, for example, some enzyme or chlorine dioxide. It has to be noted, however, that the above-mentioned decrease of the kappa number by 1 - 9 units at the A stage may be reached without the additional chemicals mentioned here, so, the additional chemicals are only used for making the decrease of kappa number more efficient. Then, the A stage may be  $A_{\text{Enzyme}}$  ( $A_E$ ) or  $A_{\text{Dioxide}}$  ( $A_D$ ). When enzyme is added to the A stage, a suitable pH is 4 to 5, and a suitable temperature 70 to 90°C. When

chlorine dioxide is added to the A stage, a suitable end pH is 3 to 5 and the initial pH a little (2 to 4 units) higher. A suitable temperature is 80 to 100°C when dioxide is used. If chlorine dioxide is added, it may be worth while destroying the chlorine dioxide residuals with SO<sub>2</sub> or NaOH before adding the chelating agent, to prevent the chelating agent from becoming destroyed. In the above-identified sequences, A may thereby be A<sub>E</sub> or A<sub>D</sub> or some other intensified A stage.

#### Example

In test runs, both hardwood and softwood pulps were cooked and delignified to a kappa number of approx. 10. Thereafter, the pulps were treated at an acid stage, where the temperature was 100°C, pH 3 to 4, and treatment time 3 hours. After acid treatment, the pulps were treated with EDTA at the pH of 5.5 to 6.5. After this, the kappa numbers were measured. The kappa number of hardwood pulp ranged from 7 to 5 and that of softwood pulp from 8 to 6.

When chlorine dioxide was added to the acid stage, it was possible to further reduce the kappa number by 1 - 4 units. It was established in the test that a suitable dosage was 5 to 30 kg, preferably 10 to 20 kg, of chlorine dioxide per pulp ton calculated as active chlorine.

After the acid stage, the pulps were bleached at a pressurized peroxide stage, and this AQ-P treatment resulted in brightness values of over 85. In other words, it could be established that, when the treatment was started with a pulp having a sufficiently low kappa number, the brightness values obtained were clearly higher than those mentioned in the above-identified sequences.

When bleaching was carried out with the  $A_DQ-P$  combination, the brightness values of over 88 were obtained the dosage of chlorine dioxide being 10 to 20 kg/ADMT.

5 During continued test runs, it was surprisingly found out that when chlorine dioxide was not added directly to the A stage but the kappa number was first allowed to drop by mere acid treatment, and chlorine dioxide was added only after that, this gave a better final result. In other  
10 words, AD is a more efficient treatment than  $A_D$  and gives a kappa number which is 2 to 4 units lower. With a partial sequence  $ADQ - P$ , the chlorine dioxide dosage being 10 to 20 kg/ad<sub>t</sub> calculated as active chlorine, a brightness of 89 to 90 ISO was achieved.

15 A corresponding phenomenon was discovered when peracids, such as peracetic acid and Caro's acid, were used. With an acidic  $P_a$  stage, a good result is obtained with the partial sequence  $AP_a - P$  or  $AP_aQ - P$ . The explanation to  
20 this is that, at the A stage are removed also hexenuronic acids, which, unless they were removed, would consume chlorine dioxide, peracetic acid, Caro's acid, ozone, and other bleaching chemicals. In other words, in view of the overall economy, it is advantageous that also hexenuronic  
25 acids are removed at the A stage and that the oxidizing chemical is added thereafter, the addition thereof being a treatment stage of its own.

30 The bleaching stage illustrated in Fig. 2, being in accordance with an alternative embodiment and being used in the above-mentioned continuation tests, includes a pump 110, preferably a so-called MC® pump, for pumping pulp, which is preferably in a medium consistency, from some preceding treatment stage, for example, a washer 108 or a  
35 press, to a first treatment tower 112. From tower 112, pulp is discharged, preferably but not necessarily, via a top discharge means to a second treatment tower 122. If

the top discharge means is used, it may preferably be such that it raises pressure to some extent, 0.1 to 10, preferably 1 to 5 bar, so that the pressure generated by it may be used for transferring pulp from one tower to another. The discharge means 114 may also be provided with gas separating devices in accordance with A. Ahlstrom Corporation's patent applications PCT/FI90/00085 or PCT/FI92/00216. It is a characteristic feature of the embodiment shown in Fig. 2 of the invention that the first treatment tower 112 is intended for acid treatment (A), whereby the acid (preferably sulphuric acid or some organic acid such as aminic acid) is fed and mixed with the pulp, preferably in pump 110. The need for acid may be considerably decreased by bringing filtrate to the washer 108 preceding the described AD stage from the washer 126 (illustrated by a dashed line in Fig. 2) subsequent to the AD (acidic) stage. So, acid is only needed for adjusting the pH to a value as exactly desired. When pulp is being discharged from tower 112, alkali is mixed with it, for adjusting the pH of the pulp, either in top discharge means 114 or thereafter or in a separate mixer. Preferably, a pipeline 116 combining the towers 112 and 122 is provided with a mixer 118, by which chlorine dioxide is mixed with pulp. In other words, tower 122 is a chlorine dioxide tower. The purpose of the chlorine dioxide is to activate pulp for further bleaching treatments.

So, the above treatment stage is composed of two phases, A and D, carried out using two different chemicals. The first phase may be called, for example, an acid phase. Its purpose is to improve the bleachability of pulp, and it is typically conducted in the following process conditions:

- consistency 8 to 20%
- temperature 80 to 110°C
- pH 3 to 5, and
- treatment time 30 to 120 min, whereby

the kappa number of pulp decreases by 1 - 6 units in said A phase.

5 It has been established on the basis of laboratory tests that with softwood pulp, the decrease of kappa number is about 1 - 3 units and with hardwood pulp about 2 - 6 units. Pulp of both type have been cooked and thereafter oxygen-delignified so that the kappa number is below 18, preferably below 12. On the basis of the tests, it has  
10 been established that the A stage is most advantageous for a pulp pretreated in this manner.

A second phase of the AD stage is D, and its process conditions are typically as follows:

- 15 - consistency 8 to 20%  
- temperature 70 to 100°C (may be higher)  
- initial pH 6 to 9  
- final pH 3 to 5  
- treatment time 10 to 180 min  
20 - chlorine dioxide dosage 5 to 30 kg ClO<sub>2</sub>O/adt, and  
- chemicals adjusting the metal profile, such as Mg, Ca, EDTA, DTPA etc., may be used in either the D phase or, e.g, thereafter.

25 It has to be noted that the A and D phases, both those described above and those to be mentioned later, may be carried out in reverse order, i.e., all AD stages or AD partial stages may be implemented in order DA, their effect being in that case, however, probably weaker.

30

Sequences applying the AD stage may be, e.g.:

O - AD - E - D, and O - AD - E - D<sub>E</sub> - D, and O - AD - P<sub>O</sub>.  
The oxygen delignification stage O may be left out if the  
35 kappa number of the pulp coming from the cooking stage is sufficiently low.

According to a third preferred embodiment of the invention, use of chlorine dioxide may also be included in a sequence which uses peroxide and chelation treatment prior to that. As known, chelation treatment (Q) means  
5 treating pulp with chelates (e.g., EDTA, DTPA or the like), which treatment is intended for removing heavy metals from pulp, such heavy metals being, e.g., iron, copper, and manganese so that they cannot dissolve peroxide. Suitable conditions for chelation treatment incorporate pH of 4 to 6, treatment time of 10 to 60 minutes  
10 and treatment temperature of 60 to 100°C.

When the peroxide stage P is used, pulp is preferably first treated in tower combination DQ or possibly ADQ,  
15 for removing heavy metals, as illustrated in Fig. 3. In some cases, particularly when chelating agents are not desired to be used, the tower combination AD as shown in Fig. 2 is used also for removing heavy metals. Fig. 3 illustrates three successive towers, 112, 122, and 132.  
20 The first of the towers is an acid treatment tower 112 and, as mentioned earlier, it is only used according to need (for example, if the kappa number of pulp has to be lowered). As for the second tower 122, the embodiment of Fig. 3 corresponds to Fig. 2. However, from the second  
25 tower 122, pulp is discharged preferably to an open chelation tower 132, and after having been treated therein, pulp is cleaned of heavy metals by washing them off of the pulp in washer 126. Also towers 122 and 132 may be  
30 provided with heat transfer surfaces 120, whereby the temperature in different towers may be selected without any direct use of steam.

Sequences O - DQ - P<sub>0</sub> or O - ADQ - P<sub>0</sub>, implemented with the above described arrangement of towers, give quite  
35 high brightness values. According to laboratory tests, the brightness values obtained by using said sequences are over 85 ISO. Brightness may be further increased by

adding D, Z, or P<sub>o</sub> stages. Sequences which are longer and produce a higher brightness value are thereby, e.g., O - D - E - DQ - P<sub>o</sub>, or O - DQ - P<sub>o</sub> - DQ - P<sub>o</sub>. Mg, Ca, and other chemicals for making the metal profile more even, may be added to the chlorine dioxide stage. In this way, DQ may possibly be replaced by mere D, to which one or more chemicals, such as Mg, Ca, EDTA, DTPA, have been added. Thus, DQ may mean an intensified D stage with regard to metals treatment. It is also advantageous to combine the A treatment with D stages in these sequences so that the D stage is replaced with an AD stage and the DQ stage with an ADQ stage.

As can be seen from the above description, the pretreatment stages preceding the peroxide stages according to the invention are very simple and, on the other hand, also effective. As, for example, each treatment stage (A and Q) is effected at a pH which is exactly as required by it, the efficiency of the stages is brought to a maximum. However, the pressurizing of the pretreatment stage/pretreatment stages has resulted in that the investments in equipment remain relatively low, because the number of pumps has been minimized. In comparison with some earlier suggestions, the method of the invention also brings a saving of at least one washer, because earlier it was suggested to have a washing stage also between the acidification and chelation. It has to be noted, however, that only some preferred, exemplary embodiments have been described above, and that they are by no means intended to limit the scope of our invention, which is presented in the accompanying claims.

## Claims

1. A method of pretreating of pulp to be bleached by acidification, in which the bleachability of the pulp is improved, **characterized** by
- 5 a) adjusting the pH of the pulp to 2 to 6 by means of an acid like e.g. aminic acid, sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid;
- b) feeding pulp to a first treatment tower (14, 112), so called acid tower,
- c) treating the pulp in the acid tower (14, 112) at said pH, at a pressure of 0 to 20 bar, at a temperature of 75 to 130°C, for 20 to 240 minutes, for decreasing the kappa number by 1 - 9, usually 2 - 6 units,
- 10 d) discharging the pulp from said acid tower (14, 112) to a second treatment tower (24, 122) for a second treatment,
- e) treating the pulp in said second tower with a complexing agent at a pH of 4 to 9, preferably 5 to 6, or with an oxidizing chemical such as chlorine dioxide, Caro's acid, peracids, or the like, and
- 15 f) washing and/or pressing the pulp.
2. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the pulp is treated with a complexing agent either in phase e) with an acidifying chemical or in a separate phase between phases e) and f).
- 20 3. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that in phase g), after phase f), the pulp is bleached or treated in an alkaline stage where hydrogen peroxide is preferably used.
- 25 4. A method according to claim 1 or 2, **characterized** in that the pH of the pulp is adjusted, according to need, by adding acid or alkali to the pulp usually between phases c) and e), or in phase d).
- 30 5. A method according to claim 1 or 2, **characterized** in that magnesium and/or calcium and/or enzymes is added prior to the acidifying and/or chelating stage or in connection therewith.

6. A method according to claim 1 or 3, **characterized** in that in phase f) the pulp is washed with a fractionating washer (28) so that filtrate F1 containing heavy metals is removed from the process and a cleaner filtrate F2 is recycled for use in some other stage of the process.

5

7. A method according to claim 3, **characterized** in that the peroxide bleaching of phase g) is effected in two towers (14, 24) which are different in size and connected to each other, the first being a so-called pretreatment reactor (14) and the second a so-called bleach tower (24).

10

8. A method according to claim 7, **characterized** by, in the peroxide bleaching stage

- mixing at least peroxide with the pulp,
- feeding the pulp into a pressurized pretreatment reactor (14) where the pressure is 3 to 20 bar and the retention time 10 to 60 min,
- allowing the pulp to react with peroxide,
- separating gas from the pulp,
- blowing the pulp by the pressure of pretreatment reactor (14) to the lower section of the bleach tower (24), wherefrom the pulp flows upwardly, and
- removing the pulp from the top of bleach tower (24).

15

20

9. A method according to claim 3 or 8, **characterized** in that the peroxide dosage to the bleaching stage is 5 to 20 kg/adt and the oxygen dosage 0 to 10 kg/adt, which, when oxygen is used, refers to an oxygen-reinforced peroxide stage P<sub>o</sub>.

25

10. A method according to claim 3 or 8, **characterized** in that the peroxide dosage to the bleaching stage is below 10 kg/adt and the oxygen dosage over 5, preferably 5 to 15 kg/adt, which refers to a peroxide-reinforced oxygen stage O<sub>p</sub>.

30

11. A method according to claim 7 or 8, **characterized** in that the pressure in the bleach tower is 0 to 5 bar, preferably 1.1 to 5 bar, and the temperature 80 to 130°C.

12. A bleaching sequence according to claim 3, **characterized** in that the bleaching sequence comprises two bleaching stages (P) using peroxide, the first in sequence being

is a peroxide-reinforced oxygen stage  $O_p$  and the second an oxygen-reinforced peroxide stage  $P_o$ , whereby the peroxide dosage to stage  $P_o$  is 10 to 20 kg/adt and the oxygen dosage 0 to 10 kg/adt, and the peroxide dosage to stage  $O_p$  is below 10 kg/adt and the oxygen dosage over 5, preferably 5 to 15 kg/adt.

5

13. A bleaching sequence according to claim 1, **characterized** in that by using chlorine dioxide, its dosage to phase e) is 5 to 30 kg  $ClO_2$ /adt calculated as active chlorine.

10

14. A process for bleaching the pulp into an ISO brightness of over 80 using the method of claim 1, 3 or 8 in a bleaching sequence Cooking - O - AQ - P, Cooking - O - AD - P, Cooking O - ADQ - P, Cooking - O -  $AP_s$  - P, or Cooking - O -  $AP_sQ$  - P.

15

15. A process for bleaching the pulp into an ISO brightness of over 88 using the method of claim 1 or 6 in a bleaching sequence Cooking - O - AQ -  $P_sQ$  - P.

16. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that step c) is performed at a pressure of 1 to 10 bar.

20

17. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that step c) is performed at a pH of 3 to 4.

25

18. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that step c) is performed at a temperature of 80 to 110°C.


19. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that step c) is performed at a time of 45 to 150 minutes.



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>D21C 9/10, 9/16</b>		<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 96/25552</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 22 August 1996 (22.08.96)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/FI96/00090		(81) Designated States: CA, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 16 February 1996 (16.02.96)		<b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>With amended claims.</i> <i>In English translation (filed in Finnish).</i>	
(30) Priority Data: 950749 17 February 1995 (17.02.95) FI 9502087-1 7 June 1995 (07.06.95) SE 953064 20 June 1995 (20.06.95) FI 953343 6 July 1995 (06.07.95) FI 954407 19 September 1995 (19.09.95) FI			
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): A. AHLSTROM CORPORATION [FI/FI]; FIN-29600 Noormarkku (FI).			
(72) Inventors; and			
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): HENRICSON, Kaj [FI/FI]; Munkkiniemen puistotie 12 A 19, FIN-00330 Helsinki (FI). PIKKA, Olavi [FI/FI]; Alhonkatu 15, FIN-48600 Karhula (FI).			
(74) Agent: A. AHLSTROM CORPORATION; Patent Dept., P.O. Box 18, FIN-48601 Karhula (FI).			

(54) Title: METHOD OF PRETREATING PULP TO BE BLEACHED WITH PEROXIDE



## (57) Abstract

The present invention relates to a method of pretreating, by acidification and chelation, of pulp to be bleached with peroxide. Especially, the invention relates to intensifying, and at the same time simplifying the pretreatment required by peroxide bleaching. It is characteristic of the invention to introduce pulp into an acid tower (14, 112) where the pressure is 0 to 20 bar, preferably 1 to 10 bar, and the temperature 75 to 130 °C, preferably 80 to 110 °C, to treat the pulp in acid tower (14, 112) at a pH of 2 to 6, preferably 3 to 4, for 20 to 240 minutes, for decreasing the kappa number by 1-9, preferably 2-6 kappa units, to transfer the pulp from acid tower (14, 112) to a tower (24) of a second treatment stage, to treat the pulp with either a complexing agent at a pH of 4 to 9, preferably 5 to 6, or with an oxidizing chemical such as chlorine dioxide, Caro's acid, peracids or equivalent, and to wash and/or press the pulp.

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63)  
DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY  
FOR PATENT APPLICATION  
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am the original first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Method of Pretreating Pulp to be Bleached with Peroxide

the specification of which (check applicable box(es)):

☐ is attached hereto  
☒ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as U.S. Application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_ (Atty Dkt. No. 30-440).  
☒ was filed as PCT international application No. PCT/FI96/00090 on 16 February 1996  
 and (if applicable to U.S. or PCT application) was amended on 26 June, 1996

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.56. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed or, if no priority is claimed, before the filing date of this application:

Prior Foreign Application(s) Application Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed
950749	Finland	17 02 95
9502087-1	Sweden	7 06 95
953064	Finland	20 06 95
953343	Finland	6 07 95
954407	Finland	19 08 95

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Application Number	Day/Month/Year Filed

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all prior United States and PCT international applications listed above or below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior applications and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S./PCT Application(s) Application Serial No.	Day/Month/Year Filed	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
PCT/FI96/00090	16 February 1996	Pending

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that those statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon. And I hereby appoint NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C., 1100 North Glebe Rd., 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22201-4714, telephone number (703) 818-4000 (to whom all communications are to be directed), and the following attorneys thereto (of the same address) individually and collectively my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with the resulting patent: Arthur R. Crawford, 25327; Larry S. Nixon, 25640; Robert A. Vanderhye, 27076; James T. Hosmer, 30184; Robert W. Rans, 31332; Richard G. Beebe, 22770; Mark E. Nusbaum, 32348; Michael J. Keenan, 32106; Bryan H. Davidson, 30251; Stanley C. Spooner, 27393; Leonard C. Mitchard, 29009; Duane M. Byers, 33363; Paul J. Heron, 33626; Jerry H. Nelson, 30451; John R. Lastova, 33149; H. Warren Burnam, Jr. 29366; Thomas E. Byrne, 32205; Mary J. Wilson, 32855; J. Scott Davidson, 33489; Alan M. Kagen, 36178; William J. Griffin, 31260; Robert A. Moian, 28834; B. J. Sedoff, 36863; James D. Berquist, 38778.

1. Inventor's Signature: [Signature] Date: July 21, 1997

Inventor: Ka Hannikainen Finnish  
 (first) (last) (citizenship)  
 Residence (city): Helsinki (state/country): Finland  
 Post Office Address: Munkkiniemen puistotie 12 A 19  
 (Zip Code) FIN-00330 Helsinki, Finland

2. Inventor's Signature: [Signature] Date: July 23, 1997

Inventor: Olavi Pirkka Finnish  
 (first) (last) (citizenship)  
 Residence (city): Kauhula (state/country): Finland  
 Post Office Address: Aihonkatu 15, FIN-48600  
 (Zip Code) Kauhula, Finland

FOR ADDITIONAL INVENTORS, check box ☐ and attach sheet with same information and signature and date for each.

1/3




FIG. 1

0.6. FIG.	CLASS	SUB-CLASS
BY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS
TRAFFISMAN		

O.G. FIG.	CLASS		SUBCLASS
	BY	CRAFTSMAN	




FIG. 2




FIG. 3